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ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: Bruxism is one of the mounting questions in contemporary dentistry due to its high prevalence, various clinical presentations, difficulties in diagnostics and treatment, demanding a complex approach from doctors of different specialties.

Aim: The aim of the current study was to describe the electromyographic values of chewing muscles in healthy persons and patients with bruxism and to find the biomarkers for early diagnostics of bruxism.

Materials and methods: During retrospective investigation patients with bruxism were divided into two groups: group botox and bruxism. The control group was named the group-no complain.

Results: Remarkable differences were found in group botox (no complain) in tests, rest and pressing in occlusion for chewing muscles symmetry index (CMSI%).

Conclusion: As a result, we revealed a total bio-electric muscle activity index (BMIAI) in healthy patients and patients with bruxism in tests with pressing in occlusion, grinding and rest. All the values received to hold a high-reliability index, which makes them useful for everyday clinical practice.

Clinical significance: Definition of electromyography (EMG) values impact index of chewing muscles in healthy and bruxing conditions allows to carry out early diagnostics of bruxism and to evaluate the effectiveness of mio relaxation therapy.
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INTRODUCTION

For the present day, the phenomenon of bruxism is not fully investigated. The wide range of prevalence of this disease (5 to 80%) is mostly concerned with deficiency of a common terminology and with patients’ ignorance of the occurrence of parafunctional night-time habits. Bruxism is normally defined as a parafunction that is realized as a pressing and grinding of upper and lower teeth between themselves. During that action, extremely high forces can be developed that exceed forces that occur during chewing. International Classification of Diseases 10th Revision (ICD–10) attributes bruxism to somatoform disorders (class V, psychic disorders and, F 45.8–Other somatoform disorders). In the second International Classification of Sleep Disorders (ICSD-2) bruxism is described as an or motor activity, characterized or grinding of teeth during sleep, and commonly related with the micro-activation reaction on electroenencephalogram, and generally associated with sound. Kato et al. attributed bruxism to parasomnias and parafunctional activity during sleep, characterized by pressing of teeth (tonic activity) and/or recurrence of muscle activity phases (phasic activity).

In 2008, American Academy of Orofacial Pain (AAOP) defined bruxism as a day and night unconscious parafunctional activity, including pressing and grinding of teeth. We hold on to R Slavicek’s and S Sato’s theory that considers bruxism as a vital body function, as a precautious resource of prevention of diseases caused by stress. However, nowadays it is quite hard to value the line when a normal stress-management body function is transformed into a parafunctional activity, damaging the structural components of dentition. Despite the big amount of papers, dedicated to the investigation of the present motive phenomenon, the aspects of its etiology and pathogenesis are still differently interpreted. The multi-factor origin of the disease along with typical peculiarities of patients’ personality (high level of anxiety, boundary psychic disorders) are considered generally recognized.

Bruxism varies versatile in clinical picture, with the most common features are: hypertrophy of chewing muscles, pain and tiredness of these muscles, especially in the morning, limitation of mouth opening, pathological teeth abrasion, not rarely leading to disease of vertical dimension; teeth marks on the lateral surface of tongue and cheeks, cracks and fractures of teeth crowns and roots. Headaches, irritability, anxiety, and sleep...
disorders are possible. Bruxism frequently has a concealed current and is being diagnosed only during the dental examination.\(^\text{11}\) If the current of the illness was concealed, the presence of bruxism has been discovered by relatives, who pointed on night time gritting of teeth in their households.\(^\text{12,13}\) Because of polyetiological origin of the disease, variable clinical picture a complex examination and participation of doctors of different specialties is necessary, including dentists, neurologists, and psychiatrists. As for dentists in everyday practice, diagnostics of early stages of the disease is necessary, it has a big impact for treatment planning in patients with an active stage of bruxism, since classic restorations, fillings, prosthodontic constructions are short-lived in such patients.\(^\text{13-15}\)

A portable superficial EMG can be a simple method of early diagnosis of bruxism. A proposed diagnostic method is remarkable for its ease of application, noninvasiveness, and innocence for patients, it does not cause discomfort, doesn’t demand a long preparation of the doctor and specially equipped premise. The equipment, used for this investigation, is available for the majority of dental clinics. A diagnostic process is, first of all, a process of finding some abnormality. As soon as we have a conception of the norm, we can put a diagnosis, make a correct treatment plan and expect a stable result. But the existing data of electromyographic investigation of chewing muscles has a number of inaccuracies. There are no strict characteristics of normal bioelectric activity of chewing muscles described in the literature.\(^\text{16}\) As a result, superficial EMG data is contradictory and demands further investigation, and the interpretation of the results stays as a privilege for a limited group of specialists.

**AIM**

The aim of this study is the definition of EMG values of chewing muscles in healthy and bruxing conditions.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Investigation of 68 patients was carried out. Patients with bruxism were divided into two groups: 1st group-15 people, that were treated with type A (Botox) botulinum toxin (group-botox), 2nd group 53 people, not having treatment and with complaints of bruxism in the present time (group-bruxism). The control group (group-no complains) consisted of 26 people without complaints on bruxism. The exclusion criteria for the study were: severe psychological disorders and/or the use of antipsychotic drugs, central or peripheral nervous system disorders.

The diagnosis (Bruxism) was put according to the American Academy of Sleep Medicine (AASM) criteria.\(^\text{16}\) The present method of clinical diagnostics grounds depend on two indicators. First of all, the patient himself tells us about the presence of pressing and gritting of teeth, and also the presence of one of the following clinical symptoms: pathologic teeth abrasion or soreness in chewing muscles. Superficial EMG was used for registration of bioelectric action potential. The investigation was held with the use of synopsis (Neurotech, Russia) EMG device. The EMG activity of chewing muscles was registered simultaneously on both sides with the use of a pseudo monopolar method of recording. Three samples were analyzed: pressing of teeth, grinding of teeth and rest, the duration of each sample was 10 seconds. The statistic data reconfiguration was held in a statistic analysis system STATISTICA 8.0. The Levene test, two-sampled t-criteria for independent samples and Wilcoxon signed-rank test were used. Mathematical calculations and digitized data reconfiguration were made using statistical analysis methods with a glance of specialist’s recommendations.

**RESULTS**

Remarkable differences were found in groups Botox-no complains in tests-rest and pressing in occlusion for CMSI%. The CMSI in group botox during pressing in occlusion was 74% and during rest was 102%. The CMSI in the group no complains, for pressing in occlusion was 118% and for rest was 106% (p < 0,03).

The impact index distribution for groups is described in Table 1.

**DISCUSSION**

When comparing of mean values of variables with the use of student t-criteria, we discovered that group botox and no complains are homogeneous by the majority of parameters. Therefore, we can assume that type A botulinum toxin therapy is an effective method of muscle relaxation as it brings the majority of the exponents in Botox (treated bruxists) group to normal values. Remarkable differences were found in pares Botox-no complains in rest and pressing in occlusion tests in CMSI%. CMSI is calculated by the formula as a relation of the lower chewing muscle biopotential amplitude (a minimum) one

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>No complain group IMPACT, mcV</th>
<th>Bruxists group IMPACT, mcV</th>
<th>Botox group IMPACT, mcV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pressing in occlusion</td>
<td>1985 ± 1344</td>
<td>3649 ± 1789</td>
<td>2314 ± 1532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grinding</td>
<td>1238 ± 696</td>
<td>2404 ± 1622</td>
<td>1299 ± 733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest</td>
<td>299 ± 61</td>
<td>297 ± 64</td>
<td>300 ± 60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EMG Values in Healthy Chewing and in Bruxing Conditions

The total bioelectric muscle activity in the bruxists group is 3649 ± 1789 mV (Table 1). In the grinding test, the IMPACT is 2404 ± 1622 mV. This value is lower than in pressing of teeth test, but higher than in No complains group. Distinctive values for Bruxists group are that IMPACT in rest trial is 299 mV.

Analyzing the Botox group, we found that all the meaning are very close to the no complains group values. Such a distribution can witness the effectiveness of the myorelaxation of the chewing muscles with the type A botulinum toxin. IMPACT in pressing in occlusion is 2314 ± 1532 mV, in grinding test it is 1299 ± 733 mV, in the rest trial it is 300 ± 60 mV (Table 1).

CONCLUSION
As a result of the research, we discovered normative values of total bioelectric activity of muscles in three tests: pressing of teeth, grinding of teeth in rest in healthy patients and patients with bruxism. Even with the positive outcomes shown from the study, there are needs for more studies performed on a larger sample size for the narrowing of the intervals of normal distribution (for example IMPACT in «grinding» test in healthy patients is from 542 mV to 1934 mV). Nevertheless, within the limitation of our study all the findings had a high index of reliability, which makes them useful for everyday clinical practice. Further investigations should be dedicated to the narrowing of the intervals of a normal distribution, description of the parameters of the norm for the remaining EMG values, bruxism biomarkers pursuit and the specification of the dosages of type Abotulinum toxin for each muscle according to EMG examination.

CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE
Definition of EMG values IMPACT index of chewing muscles in healthy and bruxing conditions allows to carry out early diagnostics of bruxism and to evaluate the effectiveness of mio relaxation therapy.
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